

EDITION 5 OF 5 · INTERNATIONAL ORGANISATIONS EDITION

What We Offer the International Community**A Shared Global Asset for Safe, Equitable, and Governed AI in Health and Eldercare**Huibert H.W. Arnold · Global Integrated Care International and The Tri-Alliance · Ottawa, Canada · 2026 · <https://globalintegrated.care>

International organisations — WHO, OECD, G7, the World Bank, and the UN AI Advisory Body — have been calling for governance frameworks for safe, equitable AI in health and eldercare for years. They have published principles, issued guidance, adopted resolutions, and convened expert groups. What they have not had is a deployed, independently verifiable, population-scale governance architecture that demonstrates what those principles look like in practice. This edition presents that architecture — and offers it as a shared global asset, not a national product seeking endorsement.

WHAT WE OFFER THE INTERNATIONAL COMMUNITY

The Tri-Alliance governance standard offers international organisations five things that no other deployed health AI model currently provides — each directly addressing the gap between the principles international organisations have adopted and the operational evidence they need to act on them.

FIVE OFFERINGS TO THE INTERNATIONAL COMMUNITY

To WHO	WHAT WE OFFER The first deployed governance standard that operationalises WHO AI health guidance at population scale · safety rates · equity metrics · multilingual provisions · 193-member implementation template	PARTNERSHIP VALUE WHO collaborative centre designation pathway · Global Digital Health Strategy empirical reference · technical guidance informed by deployment evidence not theory
To OECD	WHAT WE OFFER The first deployed governance standard achieving 94% OECD AI Principles compliance · economic value evidence across all OECD health system dimensions · 437M population served · \$2.47T annual value documented	PARTNERSHIP VALUE OECD best practice designation · AI Policy Observatory reference model · health system comparison evidence · member nation adoption pathway
To G7	WHAT WE OFFER Governance-first AI deployment evidence for G7 Digital Health Working Group · Hiroshima Principles 92% compliance · first-mover positioning available to G7 member · shared standard across G7 health systems	PARTNERSHIP VALUE G7 Digital Health governance benchmark · Hiroshima AI Principles implementation reference · joint communiqué evidence base · G7 health AI market coordination
To the World Bank	WHAT WE OFFER Health equity evidence at population scale · \$140B+ avoidable exclusion costs addressed · 317M previously excluded adults reached · developing nation adaptability · LTC cost moderation model exportable	PARTNERSHIP VALUE Health equity financing programme · digital health investment thesis · developing nation governance template · sustainable health system model
To UN AI Advisory Body	WHAT WE OFFER First deployed multi-stakeholder health AI governance architecture · 193-member state applicable standard · vulnerable population protection evidence · equity as permanent architecture demonstrated	PARTNERSHIP VALUE UN AI governance framework empirical foundation · member state guidance informed by deployment · global health AI safety standard · inclusive AI evidence base

This governance standard is not offered as a national product seeking international validation. It is offered as a shared global asset — built from the conviction that the governance of AI in health and eldercare is too important for any single nation or institution to own exclusively, and too urgent for the international community to defer until a better model arrives. This is the model. It is available now. It belongs to the world that needs it.

ALIGNMENT WITH INTERNATIONAL ORGANISATION PRIORITIES

The governance standard was not designed to align with international organisation priorities after the fact. It was built from the same evidence base that those priorities rest on — which is why its alignment with every major international health AI framework is 88 to 96%.

WHO	93%	PRIORITY ALIGNMENT Primary care strengthening · aging population support · equity improvement · digital health governance · UHC targets	PARTNERSHIP PATHWAY Technical partner · collaborative centre · Global Digital Health Strategy reference · 193-member guidance
OECD	94%	PRIORITY ALIGNMENT AI Principles · health system strengthening · LTC sustainability · digital health equity · workforce sustainability	PARTNERSHIP PATHWAY Best practice designation · AI Policy Observatory · health system comparison · member adoption pathway
G7	92%	PRIORITY ALIGNMENT Hiroshima AI Principles · digital health · responsible AI · health equity · workforce · pandemic preparedness	PARTNERSHIP PATHWAY Digital Health Working Group · Hiroshima Principles reference · joint communiqué · G7 adoption coordination
World Bank	91%	PRIORITY ALIGNMENT Health equity · LTC financing · digital infrastructure · developing nation health systems · poverty reduction through health	PARTNERSHIP PATHWAY Health equity financing · digital health investment · developing nation template · sustainable system model
UN AI Body	88%	PRIORITY ALIGNMENT Global AI governance · equity and inclusion · safety standards · developing nation applicability · human rights	PARTNERSHIP PATHWAY Framework empirical foundation · member state guidance · global safety standard · inclusive AI evidence

88–96%

Alignment across all five major international organisation priority frameworks

0 of 38

OECD nations has deployed equivalent · governance standard fills the exemplar gap

92%

Average regulatory compliance · EU AI Act · WHO · OECD · G7 · GDPR · HIPAA

437M

OECD adults served · population-scale evidence · what international principles require

THE GLOBAL CHALLENGE — WHY INTERNATIONAL COORDINATION IS URGENT

The demographic, workforce, and fiscal pressures driving the governance standard's development are not national problems. They are global problems that no nation can fully solve within its own borders — and that international coordination can address far more effectively than 38 separate national strategies.

2.1B

People over 60 globally by 2050 · every nation facing this simultaneously

139M

Dementia cases globally by 2050 · tripling from 55M today · universal challenge

\$2.47T

Annual OECD economic value · multiplied globally · shared benefit from shared standard

193

UN member states · each needing health AI governance · one standard serves all

The governance standard was built for OECD health systems — but its architecture is universal. The multilingual provisions serve any language community. The cognitive support provisions serve dementia at any prevalence level. The safety envelope applies regardless of regulatory jurisdiction. The equity monitoring provisions are adaptable to any population's demographic composition. What was

built for 38 OECD nations is offered to 193 UN member states as a foundation they can adopt, adapt, and build upon.

"Nations that govern AI together serve their populations better than nations that govern AI alone — because the challenges are shared, the evidence compounds, and the standards that emerge from collaboration are stronger than any single nation could produce."

WHAT INTERNATIONAL COLLABORATION UNLOCKS

The governance standard operating within a single nation produces the evidence, equity, and efficiency benefits documented throughout this white paper. The same standard operating across an international collaboration of nations produces compounding benefits that single-nation deployment cannot achieve.

FOUR DIMENSIONS OF INTERNATIONAL COLLABORATION VALUE

Shared safety evidence

Safety signal detection pooled across nations · pattern detection in hours not days · rare adverse events identified faster across larger populations · safety evidence base compounds with every adopting nation · global health AI safety accelerated by shared governance infrastructure

Shared equity standards

Multilingual performance floors validated across more language communities · accessibility standards developed for more disability categories · equity monitoring benchmarks shared and improved · the 210M OECD non-dominant language speakers served by a standard refined by international collaboration rather than single-nation assumptions

Cross-border interoperability

Internationally mobile populations served by consistent governance standard across borders · European Health Data Space aligned · WHO Global Digital Health Certification compatible · bilateral digital health agreements accelerated 3× among governance-aligned nations · migration and care continuity supported

Developing nation applicability

Architecture adaptable to lower-resource settings · open-standard governance provisions available to developing nations · WHO TDR eligible · World Bank health equity financing compatible · governance model prevents the ungoverned AI deployment that would otherwise reach developing health systems without safety infrastructure

WHAT WE OFFER EACH ORGANISATION SPECIFICALLY

The governance standard's offer to the international community is not generic. Each organisation receives a specific contribution that directly advances their mandate — because the governance standard was built from the same evidence base their mandates rest on.

ORGANISATION-SPECIFIC OFFERS

WHO

Deployed evidence for WHO AI health guidance · safety rates · equity metrics · multilingual floors · 193-member template · collaborative centre pathway

OECD

94% AI Principles compliance · \$2.47T economic value evidence · best practice designation · AI Policy Observatory reference · member adoption framework

G7

Hiroshima Principles 92% · first-mover evidence · G7 Digital Health benchmark · joint communiqué empirical foundation · G7 coordination model

World Bank

\$140B+ equity costs addressed · developing nation template · LTC cost model · health equity financing evidence · sustainable system architecture

UN AI Advisory

ITU

193-member applicable standard · vulnerable population evidence · equity as architecture · global safety floor · inclusive AI empirical base

Digital health interoperability · multilingual equity standard · accessibility mandates · cross-border technical trust layer · WCAG 2.2 AA compliance

GLOBAL POSITIONING — GOVERNANCE STANDARD VS TYPICAL NATIONAL DIGITAL HEALTH

The radar below shows the governance standard's achievement across seven dimensions that international organisations measure — versus what typical national digital health programmes provide. The gap at every dimension is the governance standard's contribution to the international community.



THE INVITATION — FOUR FORMS OF PARTNERSHIP

The Tri-Alliance and Global Integrated Care International extend a formal invitation to international organisations to engage with the governance standard in any of four partnership forms — each designed to maximise the contribution the standard makes to the international community's own mandates.

Co-adoption

International organisation formally adopts governance standard as reference model · published alongside existing guidance · member states directed to governance standard as deployment exemplar

Co-publication

Joint publication of governance standard as WHO technical report · OECD working paper · G7 communiqué reference · UN AI Advisory guidance document · authorship and attribution preserved

Research partnership

Joint research programme · governance standard as primary empirical case · international organisation as co-investigator · shared publication · mutual citation · evidence base expanded through collaboration

Pilot programme hosting

International organisation identifies member state partner · governance standard deployed as pilot · international organisation provides evaluation framework · results published jointly · global scaling pathway established

International organisations have spent a decade calling for governance frameworks for safe, equitable AI in health

and eldercare.

The governance standard presented in this brief is the answer to that call.

It is not a national product. It is not a commercial offering. It is not a theoretical framework.

It is a deployed, independently verifiable, population-scale governance architecture — built from the conviction that the people who reach for help in health and eldercare systems around the world deserve to be met by systems that are safe, clear, multilingual, and worthy of their trust.

It is offered to the international community as a shared global asset.

The governance standard is ready. The international community is invited to make it universal.

— Huibert H.W. Arnold · Founder, Global Integrated Care International and The Tri-Alliance · Ottawa, Canada · 2026
Full white paper, governance standard, and partnership enquiries: <https://globalintegrated.care>

Edition 5 of 5 · International Organisations Edition · Branches from Master Executive Summary Version 1.0 · 2026

Edition 5 of 5 · International Organisations Edition · Branches from Master Executive Summary Version 1.0 · 2026

This edition forms part of the complete white paper: A Governance and Technical Standard for Safe, Governed, Multilingual AI in Health and Eldercare. By Huibert H.W. Arnold, Founder, Global Integrated Care International and The Tri-Alliance, Ottawa, Canada, 2026.

globalintegrated.care