



Global Integrated Care
International

A senior entrepreneur started with a question about care.

It led to a much bigger question about AI:

**Who should have the authority to decide
when an AI-enabled service is ready
to affect people's lives?**



GLOBAL INTEGRATED CARE INTERNATIONAL

A CANADA INCORPORATED COMPANY

A senior entrepreneur started with a question about care. It led to a much bigger question about AI:

Who should have the authority to decide when an AI-enabled service is ready to affect people's lives?

Global Integrated Care International, a **Canadian-incorporated company**, has, through an initiative begun by Huibert H.W. Arnold, spent the past several years developing AI-enabled care service concepts while applying **unusually limited resources**.

The original ambition was deeply human: could emerging technology help people seeking care, and the professionals and organizations providing it, find better ways to communicate, navigate services and preserve the human voice?

That work eventually produced **Heather**, representing the service-seeker side of the relationship, and **Mary**, representing the professional and service-provider side.

But as increasingly capable artificial intelligence became available, an uncomfortable conclusion emerged:

The company building and commercially operating the system should not be the only institution judging it.

That realization changed the project.

The question was no longer simply:

“What can AI do?”

It became: **“Who should have authority over what AI is permitted to do?”**

“Who should independently evaluate the services?”

“Who should determine whether they have reached the standard for commercial deployment?”

“And who remains responsible when AI becomes capable of acting rather than merely answering?”

Those questions produced the emerging **GlobalCare Tri-Alliance architecture**.

Today, GIC is taking the next step by establishing **Tri-Alliance International Inc.** in several countries as the proposed not-for-profit administrative entity supporting that architecture.

CON2 – Research & Evaluation Consortium

CON2 is intended to bring together **universities, research institutions, NGOs, corporations, care organizations, professional bodies and other qualified contributors** to independently research, challenge, test and evaluate proposed service modules.

CON2 is not being assembled to tell GIC that it is right.

Its participants must remain free to tell GIC when it is wrong.

CON1 – Governance Review Consortium

CON1 is intended to provide a separate, independent governance review structure through which properly submitted service modules can be independently examined and an institutional determination made before international commercial deployment through the Tri-Alliance pathway.

The emerging constitutional sequence is deliberately simple:

GIC → PRESENTS

CON2 → EVALUATES & SUBMITS

CON1 → REVIEWS & DETERMINES

GIC → DECIDES WHETHER AND HOW TO COMMERCIALY DEPLOY

AI CAPABILITY IS NOT AI AUTHORITY.

An AI system may become extraordinarily capable.

Capability alone does not give it authority to determine its own boundaries, authorize its own actions, judge its own compliance, or declare that something happened merely because the AI says it did.

The same principle applies institutionally.

Commercial ownership is not independent research authority.

Research is not governance determination authority.

Governance determination is not ownership of GIC.

Each has a different role.

GIC is inviting the outside world into the architecture.

Applications for participation in **CON1** and **CON2** are open internationally:

DEADLINE — OPEN

Applications are considered in the order received until GIC has filled the available regional participation quotas.

Governments, universities, researchers, institutions and other qualified participants may gain value through international knowledge exchange, research opportunities, demonstrations, appropriate pilot and implementation experience, professional collaboration and participation in international forums.

Institutional prestige and international visibility are themselves legitimate participation value.

But participation value cannot become payment for agreement.

CON2 participation provides value – not an obligation to approve.

CON1 participation provides value – not an obligation to endorse.

The purpose is not to manufacture consensus.

It is to expose proposed systems to institutions capable of discovering weaknesses that their creators may have missed.

Good governance should begin before approval – not after deployment.

Questions about ageing and care are no longer abstract subjects to me.

Behind every discussion about artificial intelligence, integrated care, governance, service modules and technology is ultimately a person – perhaps an elderly person trying to remain independent, a family trying to find help, or a professional trying to provide that help.

Technology should serve that relationship.

It should not quietly replace the human authority surrounding it.

For those who want to examine the work rather than simply read about it, we have begun creating a public reservoir of the evidence, architecture and demonstrations behind the initiative:

Tri-Alliance Manifesto 5.0

https://globalintegrated.care/Tri-Alliance_Manifesto_5.0.pdf

Tri-Alliance Founding Charter v2.0

https://globalintegrated.care/Tri-Alliance_Founding_Charter_v2.0.pdf

Executive Demonstration

<https://globalintegrated.care/executive-demonstration33.html>

Governed Speech-to-Speech Demonstration

<https://globalintegrated.care/index2.php>

Governance Portal

https://globalintegrated.care/governance_portal.html

Heather – Global Service-Seeker Environment

<https://demo.caregovernance.org/AskHeather/index-global.php>

Mary – Global Care-Provider Environment

<https://demo.caregovernance.org/AskMary/index-global.php>

GIC Media Briefing

https://globalintegrated.care/GIC_Media_Briefing.pdf

The Governance Portal also provides several different ways into the story:

Tab #5 – Audiences

Different pathways for governments, clinicians, physicians, NGOs and international organizations, media, investors and academics.

Tab #11 – Acknowledgements

The wider record of the people, organizations and contributions surrounding the work.

Tab #15 – Invitation to Governments

For governments and public institutions considering participation in the emerging international governance architecture.

Tab #16 – For Investors

For those examining the commercial and investment dimensions alongside governance and public-interest objectives.

Tab #17 – About the Author

For readers interested in the personal background behind the initiative.

We are not asking governments, universities, researchers, corporations, NGOs, care organizations or journalists simply to accept our description of what is being attempted.

Our invitation is:

**Examine it.
Challenge it.
Decide whether it deserves your participation.**

[And to journalists — NEWSPAPER IMAGE](#)

Decide whether it is a story.

Applications for participation in CON1 and CON2 are open internationally.

Deadline — Open. Applications are considered in the order received until GIC has filled the available regional participation quotas.

Restoring the Human Voice – Together.

Huibert H.W. Arnold

President

Global Integrated Care International

Founder, Tri-Alliance

Contact: president@globalintegrated.care